

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/736,385	RUSSELL ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Peter Szekely	1714	

All Participants:

(1) Peter Szekely.

(2) Rodney Carroll.
Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 6 December 2006
Time: 3:20 p.m.
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

None.

Claims discussed:


Claim 4.

Prior art documents discussed:

None.

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
The limitations of claim 4 will be amended to conform to claim 1.
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)